Yale Poorvu Center for Teaching and Learning

Associates in Teaching

Course Proposal Review Criteria and Scoring Rubric

Maximum = 20 points

I. Preparation, Value, and Consideration of Student Learning

Excellent	 Application clearly articulates the following: Accomplishable goals Learning objectives Assessments that are aligned with learning objectives Course structure 	4-5 points
Average	 The following are confusing or unclear: Accomplishable goals Learning objectives Assessments that are aligned with learning objectives Course structure 	2-3 points
Weak	 Application does not clearly articulate the following: Accomplishable goals Learning objectives Assessments that are aligned with learning objectives Course structure 	0-1 points

II. Potential for Graduate Student Professional Development

Excellent	•	The graduate student demonstrates high commitment to teaching and learning The graduate student's disciplinary background and experience is integral to the course	4-5 points
Average	•	The graduate student demonstrates moderate commitment to teaching and learning The graduate student's disciplinary background and experience is moderately integral to the course	2-3 points
Weak	•	The graduate student does not demonstrate commitment to teaching and learning, or commitment is unclear The graduate student's disciplinary background and experience is not integral to the course	o-1 points

III. Commitment to Co-teaching

	0	
Excellent	 Strong evidence of collaborative planning between the graduate student and faculty applicant Strong evidence of a commitment to shared responsibility and execution Strong evidence that the course is achievable 	4-5 points
Average	 Moderate evidence of collaborative planning between the graduate student and faculty applicant Moderate evidence of a commitment to shared responsibility and execution Moderate evidence that the course is achievable 	2-3 points
Weak	 Little or no evidence of collaborative planning between the graduate student and faculty applicant Little or no evidence of a commitment to shared responsibility and execution Little or no evidence that the course is achievable 	0-1 points

IV. College Impact

TV. Concg		ipaci	_
	•	Strong evidence for high student interest and full enrollment Strong evidence of value to Yale College as a new or re-designed course	
Excellent		 Contributes diverse voices, representation, and perspectives Employs research-based pedagogical approaches and/or teaching methods Introduces new curriculum to the department 	4-5 points
		 Bridges disciplines and/or promotes new collaborations between departments 	
	•	Moderate evidence for high student interest and full enrollment	
	•	Moderate evidence of value to Yale College as a new or re-designed course	2-3 points
Average		Contributes diverse voices, representation, and perspectives	
Inverage		• Employs research-based pedagogical approaches and/or teaching methods	
		Introduces new curriculum to the department	
		Bridges disciplines and/or promotes new collaborations between departments	
	•	Little or no evidence for high student interest and full enrollment	
	•	Little or no evidence of value to Yale College as a new or re-designed course	
Weak		Contributes diverse voices, representation, and perspectives	o-1 points
VV Cak	• Er	Employs research-based pedagogical approaches and/or teaching methods	
		Introduces new curriculum to the department	
		Bridges disciplines and/or promotes new collaborations between departments	